

# CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM

ELECTRICAL CIRCLE, BARGARH

First Floor, Raymond Building, Bandutikra Chowk, Bargarh-768028

Phone: (06646) 230135, E-mail: grf.bargarh@tpwesternodisha.com



## Present:

Sri B.K.Singh ... President  
Sri Pulakesh Dasbhaya ... Member (Finance)  
Sri Debendra Ranjan Sahu ... Co-Opted Member

1	Case No.	<b>BGH/98/2026</b>			
2	Complainant	Name & Address:		Consumer No:	
		Loknath Rana		5150-0116-4603	
		At-Bhandarpari, Jharbandh		Contact No.:	
3	Respondent	Name		Division	
		Executive Engineer (Elect.), BWED, Bargarh, TPWODL		BWED, TPWODL, Bargarh.	
4	Date of Application	07.03.2026			
5	In the matter of-	1. Agreement / Termination	2. Billing Disputes	✓	
		3. Classification / Reclassification of Consumers	4. Contract Demand / Connected Load		
		5. Disconnection / Reconnection of Supply	6. Installation of Equipment & apparatus of Consumer		
		7. Interruptions	8. Metering		
		9. New Connection	10. Quality of Supply & GSOP		
		11. Security Deposit / Interest	12. Shifting of Service Connection & equipments		
		13. Transfer of Consumer Ownership	14. Voltage Fluctuations		
		15. Others (Specify) -			
6	Section(s) of Electricity Act, 2003 involved	42(5)			
7	OERC Regulation(s):	Clauses			
1	OERC Distribution (Licensee's Standard of Performance) Regulations, 2004				
2	OERC Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004				
3	Odisha Grid Code (OGC) Regulation, 2006				
4	OERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2004				
5	Others-OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) code, 2019	42,140,155 & 157			
8	Date(s) of Hearing	07.03.2026			
9	Date of Order	23.04.26			
10	Order in favour of	Complainant	✓	Respondent	Others
11	Details of Compensation awarded, if any.	Nil			
12	Appeared for the Complainant:	Appeared for the Respondent:			
	Loknath Rana Represented by Tulsi Rana	SDO(Elect.), TPWODL, Jharbandh			

**PRESIDENT**

Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028



## **ORDER**

### **Brief Facts of the Case**

During the spot hearing at Jharbandh Sub-division under Bargarh West Electrical Division on 07-03-2026, the complainant appeared before the Forum whereas SDO- Jharbandh appeared as respondent before the Forum.

Brief facts pertaining to the case are that the Complainant is a LT- Irrigation Pumping and agriculture consumer having consumer No. 5150-0116-4603 with connected load of 2.50 KW. That the Complainant has raised objection regarding the provisional/average bills served to him from Sep'2020 to Dec'2022 during the period in which he has not used power supply due to disconnection of his supply. He requested for revision of bills and mentions about verbal complaint being made to the respondent earlier on.

### **Gist of Arguments made by the Parties**

Both parties were present in the hearing. The contentions made by the parties are as follows:

#### **1. Submission of the Complainant:**

1. The complainant submits that, provisional/average bills have been served to him from Sep'2020 to Dec'2022 during the period in which he has not used power supply due to disconnection of his supply resulted to accumulation of arrear.
2. He further submits that; he had made verbal complain to the respondent about the erroneous bill.
3. He also requested the Forum to revise the bills.

#### **2. Reply Submission of the Respondent:**

- i. The respondent submitted the PVR dated 19-04-2026 with a remark "During site inspection it was found that the supply was disconnected from Sep'2020 to Dec'2022."
- ii. However, the respondent requested the Forum to take appropriate decision as necessary.

### **Findings and observations of the Forum**

Written/verbal Submissions were made by both parties and arguments were heard at length. This Forum, after hearing the parties and going through the

relevant documents, FG and Samadhan database (Licensee's soft records) and provisions of law have concluded as follows:



1. It is noted from the billing database that the complainant has been given power supply under LT- Irrigation Pumping and agriculture category bills on average/provisional basis has been done from Date of power supply to Jan'2025. From Feb'2025 onwards bills on actual meter readings have been served.
2. As per submission of the complainant and certified by the respondent that due to disconnection the above mentioned LI point was not availing power supply from Sep'2020 to Dec'2022.
3. Therefore, it is decided by the Forum that, the bills raised from Sep'2020 to Dec'2022 against the complainant should be withdrawn.


### **Directions of the forum**

In view of the above findings and discussions, the Forum is of the view that,

- The bills raised from Sep'2020 to Dec'2022 are to be withdrawn (except Fixed charges) as per Section 157 of Odisha Electricity Regulatory Commission Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code, 2019.
- Any adjustments done during the revision period are also to be taken in to consideration.
- DPS charged on the wrong bills are also to be withdrawn.

**The Opposite party is directed to submit the compliance report to this Forum within one month from the date of issue of this order.**

Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

  
(D.R. Sahu)  
Co-Opted member  
Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028

  
(P. Dasbhaya)  
MEMBER  
Member (Finance)  
Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028

  
(B.K. Singh)  
PRESIDENT  
Grievance Redressal Forum  
TPWODL, Bargarh-768028

No. GRF/BGH/ 138(3)

Date: 23.04.26

Certified Copy to:

- 1) The Zonal Head, Bargarh Zone, TPWODL, Bargarh.
- 2) The Chief Legal, TPWODL, Burla.

"If the complainant is aggrieved with this order or non-implementation of the order of the Grievance Redressal Forum in time, he/she can make the representation to the Ombudsman-II, Qrs. No. 3R-2(S), GRIDCO Colony, P.O: Bhoynagar, Bhubaneswar-751022 (Tel. No. 0674-2543825 and Fax No. 0674-2546264) within 30 days from the date of order of the Grievances Redressal Forums".

This order can be accessed at TPWODL website [www.tpwesternodisha.com](http://www.tpwesternodisha.com)- Customer Zone- Grievance Redressal Forum- BGH- GRF case No. BGH 98 of 2026.